Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,926	RICCARDI, CARLO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Q. Janice Li, PTO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Allen C. Yun, Appl. Rep.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1 st paragraph, and ODP	·	
Claims discussed: Claims 22, 23		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writtone of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
. •		
Q. JANICE LI, M.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
77		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to indicate the new claim 22 has the same issue as previous claim 3, would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph; and if the limitation of claim 23 is incorporated to claim 22, the amended claim 22 would be claiming the same subject matter as claim 3. The examiner suggested to cancel claims 22, 23, and make a new dependent claim to state the phenotype of the transgenic mouse in order to make all pending claims in condition for allowance. Mr. Yun consulted the applicant, and agreed to the proposed amendment in the form of an examiner's amendment.